arXiv:math/0607367v4 [math.DG] 26 Oct 2008

On the Topology of the Space of Negatively Curved Metrics

F. T. Farrell and P. Ontaneda*

Abstract

We show that the space of negatively curved metrics of a closed negatively curved Riemannian n-manifold, $n \geq 10$, is highly non-connected.

Section 0. Introduction.

Let M be a closed smooth manifold. We denote by $\mathcal{MET}(M)$ the space of all smooth Riemannian metrics on M and we consider $\mathcal{MET}(M)$ with the smooth topology. Note that the space $\mathcal{MET}(M)$ is contractible. A subspace of metrics whose sectional curvatures lie in some interval (closed, open, semi-open) will be denoted by placing a superscript on $\mathcal{MET}(M)$. For example, $\mathcal{MET}^{sec<\epsilon}(M)$ denotes the subspace of $\mathcal{MET}(M)$ of all Riemannian metrics on M that have all sectional curvatures less that ϵ. Thus saying that all sectional curvatures of a Riemannian metric g lie in the interval $[a,b]$ is equivalent to saying that $g \in \mathcal{MET}^{\,a \leq sec \leq b}(M)$. Note that if $I \subset J$ then $\mathcal{MET}^{sec\in I}(M) \subset \mathcal{MET}^{sec\in J}(M)$. Note also that $\mathcal{MET}^{sec=-1}(M)$ is the space of hyperbolic metrics $\mathcal{H}yp\,(M)$ on M.

A natural question about a closed negatively curved manifold M is the following: is the space $\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)$ of negatively curved metrics on M path connected? This problem has been around for some time and has been posed several times in the literature. see for instance K. Burns and A. Katok ([2], Question 7.1). In dimension two, Hamilton's Ricci flow [8] shows that $\mathcal{H}yp\,(M^2)$ is a deformation retract of $\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M^2)$. But $\mathcal{H}yp\,(M^2)$ fibers over the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(M^2) \cong \mathbb{R}^{6\mu-6}$ (μ is the genus of M^2), with contractible fiber $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R}^+ \times DIFF(M^2)$ [5]. Therefore $\mathcal{H}yp\,(M^2)$ and $\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M^2)$ are contractible.

In this paper we prove that, for $n \geq 10$, $\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M^n)$ is never path-connected; in fact, it has infinitely many path-components. Moreover we show that all the groups $\pi_{2p-4}(\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M^n))$ are non-trivial for every prime number $p > 2$, and such that $p < \frac{n+5}{6}$. (In fact, these groups contain the infinite sum $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\,\mathbb{Z}$'s, and hence they are not finitely generated). Also, the restriction on $n = dim\,M$ can be improved to $p \leq \frac{n-2}{4}$. See Remarks 1 below.) We also show that $\pi_1(\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M^n))$ contains the infinite sum $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ when $n \geq 14$. These results about π_k are true for each path component

---

*The first author was partially supported by a NSF grant. The second author was supported in part by research grants from CNPq(Brazil) and NSF.

of $\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M^n)$; i.e. relative to any base point. Before we state our Main Theorem, we need some definitions.

Denote by $DIFF(M)$ the group of all smooth self-diffeomorphisms of M. We have that $DIFF(M)$ acts on $\mathcal{MET}(M)$ pulling-back metrics: $\phi g = (\phi^{-1})^* g = \phi_* g$, for $g \in \mathcal{MET}(M)$ and $\phi \in DIFF(M)$, that is, ϕg is the metric such that $\phi : (M, g) \to (M, \phi g)$ is an isometry. Note that $DIFF(M)$ leaves invariant all spaces $\mathcal{MET}^{sec\in I}(M)$, for any $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. For any metric g on M we denote by $DIFF(M)\, g$ the orbit of g by the action of $DIFF(M)$. We have a map $\Lambda_g : DIFF(M) \to \mathcal{MET}(M)$, given by $\Lambda_g(\phi) = \phi_* g$. Then the image of Λ_g is the orbit $DIFF(M)\, g$ of g. And Λ_g of course naturally factors through $\mathcal{MET}^{sec\in I}(M)$, if $g \in \mathcal{MET}^{sec\in I}(M)$. Note that if $dim\, M \geq 3$ and $g \in \mathcal{MET}^{secc=-1}(M)$, then the statement of Mostow's Rigidity Theorem is equivalent to saying that the map $\Lambda_g : DIFF(M) \to \mathcal{MET}^{secc=-1}(M) = \mathcal{H}yp\,(M)$ is a surjection. Here is the statement of our main result.

Main Theorem. *Let M be a closed smooth n-manifold and let g be a negatively curved Riemannian metric on M. Then we have that:*

i. *the map $\pi_0(\Lambda_g) : \pi_0(\,DIFF(M)\,) \to \pi_0(\,\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)\,)$ is not constant, provided $n \geq 10$.*

ii. *the homomorphism $\pi_1(\Lambda_g) : \pi_1(\,DIFF(M)\,) \to \pi_1(\,\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)\,)$ is non-zero, provided $n \geq 14$.*

iii. *For $k = 2p - 4$, p prime integer and $1 < k \leq \frac{n-8}{3}$, the homomorphism $\pi_k(\Lambda_g) : \pi_k(\,DIFF(M)\,) \to \pi_k(\,\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)\,)$ is non-zero. (See Remarks 1 below.)*

Addendum to the Main Theorem. *We have that the image of $\pi_0(\Lambda_g)$ is infinite and in cases (ii.), (iii) mentioned in the Main Theorem, the image of $\pi_k(\Lambda_g)$ is not finitely generated. In fact we have:*

i. *For $n \geq 10$, $\pi_0(\,DIFF(M)\,)$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$, and $\pi_0(\Lambda_g)|_{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty}$ is one-to-one.*

ii. *For $n \geq 14$, the image of $\pi_1(\Lambda_g)$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$.*

iii. *For $k = 2p - 4$, p prime integer and $1 < k \leq \frac{n-8}{3}$, the image of $\pi_k(\Lambda_g)$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$. See Remark 1 below.*

For $a < b < 0$ the map Λ_g factors through the inclusion map $\mathcal{MET}^{\,a \leq sec \leq b}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)$ provided $g \in \mathcal{MET}^{\,a \leq sec \leq b}(M)$. Therefore we have:

Corollary 1. *Let M be a closed smooth n-manifold, $n \geq 10$. Let $a < b < 0$ and assume that $\mathcal{MET}^{\,a \leq sec \leq b}(M)$ is not empty. Then the inclusion map $\mathcal{MET}^{\,a \leq sec \leq b}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)$ is not null-homotopic. Indeed, the induced maps, at the k-homotopy level, are not constant for $k = 0$, and non-zero for the cases (ii.), (iii.) mentioned in the Main Theorem. Furthermore, the image of these maps satisfy a statement analogous to the one* *in the Addendum to the Main Theorem.*

If $a = b = -1$ we have

Corollary 2. *Let M be a closed hyperbolic n-manifold, $n \geq 10$.. Then the inclusion map $\mathcal{H}yp(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)$ is not null-homotopic. Indeed, the induced maps, at the k-homotopy level, are not constant for $k = 0$, and non-zero for the cases (ii.), (iii.) mentioned in the Main Theorem. Furthermore, the image of these maps satisfy a statement analogous to the one in the Addendum to the Main Theorem.*

Hence, taking $k = 0$ (i.e. $p = 2$) in Corollary 2, we get that for any closed hyperbolic manifold (M^n, g), $n \geq 10$, there is a hyperbolic metric g' on M such that g and g' cannot be joined by a path of negatively curved metrics.

Also, taking $a = -1 - \epsilon$, $\quad b = -1$ $(0 \leq \epsilon)$ in Corollary 1 we have that the space $\mathcal{MET}^{\,-1-\epsilon \leq sec \leq -1}(M^n)$ of ϵ-pinched negatively curved Riemannian metrics on M has infinitely many path components, provided it is not empty and $n \geq 10$. And the homotopy groups $\pi_k(\mathcal{MET}^{\,-1-\epsilon \leq sec \leq -1}(M))$, are non-zero for the cases (ii.), (iii.) mentioned in the Main Theorem. Moreover, these groups are not finitely generated.

Remark 1. The restriction on $n = dim\, M$ given in the Main Theorem, its Addendum and its Corollaries are certainly not optimal. In particular, in (iii.) it can be improved to $1 < k < \frac{n-10}{2}$ by using Igusa's "Surjective Stability Theorem" ([12], p. 7).

Another interesting application of the Main Theorem shows that the answer to the following natural question is negative:

Question: *Let $E \rightarrow B$ be a fibre bundle whose fibres are diffeomorphic to a closed negatively curved manifold M^n. Is it always possible to equip its fibres with negatively curved Riemannian metrics (varying continuously from fibre to fibre)?*

The negative answer is gotten by setting $B = \mathbb{S}^{k+1}$, where k is as in the Main Theorem case (iii) (or $k = 0$, 1, case (i), (ii)), and the bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{k+1}$ is obtained by the standard clutching construction using an element $\alpha \in \pi_k(DIFF(M))$ such that $\pi_k(\Lambda_g)(\alpha) \neq 0$, for *every* negatively curved Riemannian metric g on M. Using our method for proving the Main Theorem (in particular Theorem 1 below) one sees that such elements α, which are independent of g, exist in all cases (i), (ii), (iii).

The Main Theorem follows from Theorems 1 and 2 below. Before we state these results we need some definitions and constructions. For a manifold N let $P(N)$ be the space of topological pseuso-isotopies of N, that is, the space of all homeomorphisms $N \times I \to N \times I$, $I = [0,1]$, that are the identity on $(N \times \{0\}) \cup (\partial N \times I)$. We consider $P(N)$ with the compact-open topology. Also, $P^{diff}(N)$ is the space of all *smooth* pseudo-isotopies on N, with the smooth topology. Note that $P^{diff}(N)$ is a subset of $P(N)$. The map of spaces $P^{diff}(N) \to P(N)$ is continuous and will be denoted by ι_N, or simply by ι. The space of all self-diffeomorphisms of N will be denoted by $DIFF(N)$, considered with the smooth topology. Also $DIFF(N, \partial)$ denotes the subspace of $DIFF(N)$ of all self-diffeomorphism of N which are the identity on ∂N.

Remark 2. We will assume that the elements in $DIFF(N, \partial)$ are the identity *near* ∂N.

Note that $DIFF(N \times I,\, \partial\,)$ is the subspace of $P^{diff}(N)$ of all smooth pseudo-isotopies whose restriction to $N \times \{1\}$ is the identity. The restriction of ι_N to $DIFF(N \times I, \partial)$ will also be denoted by ι_N. The map $\iota_N : DIFF(N \times I,\, \partial\,) \to P(N)$ is one of the ingredients in the statement Theorem 1.

We will also need the following construction. Let M be a negatively curved n-manifold. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^1 \to M$ be an embedding. Sometimes we will denote the image $\alpha(\mathbb{S}^1)$ just by α. We assume that the normal bundle of α is orientable, hence trivial. Let $V : \mathbb{S}^1 \to TM \times ... \times TM$, be an orthonormal trivialization of this bundle: $V(z) = (v_1(z), ..., v_{n-1}(z))$ is an orthonormal base of the orthogonal complement of $\alpha(z)'$ in T_zM. Also, let $r > 0$, such that $2r$ is less that the width of the normal geodesic tubular neighborhood of α. Using V, and the exponential map of geodesics orthogonal to α we identify the normal geodesic tubular neighborhood of width $2r$ minus α, with $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (0, 2r]$. Define $\Phi = \Phi^M(\alpha, V, r) : DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times I, \partial) \to DIFF(M)$ in the following way. For $\varphi \in DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times I, \partial)$ let $\Phi(\varphi) : M \to M$ be the identity outside $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times [r, 2r] \subset M$, and $\Phi(\varphi) = \lambda^{-1}\varphi\lambda$, where $\lambda(z, u, t) = (z, u, \frac{t-r}{r})$, for $(z, u, t) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times [r, 2r]$. Note that the dependence of $\Phi(\alpha, V, r)$ on α and V is essential, while its dependence on r is almost irrelevant.

We denote by g the negatively curved metric on M. Hence we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
DIFF(\,(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times I, \partial\,) \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "\iota"'] & DIFF(M) \arrow[r, "\Lambda_g"] & \mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M) \\
P(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\iota = \iota_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}}$ and $\Phi = \Phi^M(\alpha, V, r)$.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a closed n-manifold with a negatively curved metric g. Let α, V, r and $\Phi = \Phi(\alpha, V, r)$ be as above, and assume that α in not null-homotopic. Then $Ker\,(\,\pi_k(\Lambda_g\Phi)\,) \subset Ker\,(\,\pi_k(\iota)\,)$, for $k < n - 5$. Here $\pi_k(\Lambda_g\Phi)$ and $\pi_k(\iota)$ are the homomorphisms at the k-homotopy group level induced by $\Lambda_g\Phi$ and $\iota = \iota_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}}$, respectively.*

Remark. In the statement of Theorem 1 above, by $Ker\,(\,\pi_0(\Lambda_g\Phi)\,)$ (for $k=0$) we mean the set $(\pi_0(\,\Lambda_g\Phi\,))^{-1}\,([g])$, where $[g]\in\pi_0(\mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M))$ is the connected component of the metric g.

Hence to deduce the Main Theorem from Theorem 1 we need to know that $\pi_k(\iota_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}})\,)$ is a non-zero homomorphism. Furthermore, to prove the Addendum to the Main Theorem we have to show that $\pi_k(DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I,\partial))$ contains an infinite sum of $\mathbb{Z}_p$'s (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_2$'s) where $k=2p-4$, p prime (resp. $k=1$) and $\pi_k(\iota_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}})\,)$ restricted to this sum is one-to-one.

Theorem 2. *Let p be a prime integer such that max $\{9,6p-5\}<n$. Then for $k=2p-4$ we have that $\pi_k(DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I,\partial))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$ and $\pi_k(\iota_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}})\,)$ restricted to $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$ is one-to-one.*

Addendum to Theorem 2. *Assume $n\geq 14$. Then $\pi_1(DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I,\partial))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ and $\pi_1(\iota_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}})\,)$ restricted to $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ is one-to-one.*

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 1 we give some Lemmas, including some fibered versions of Whitney embedding Theorem. In Section 2 we give (recall) some facts about simply connected negatively curved manifolds and their natural extensions to a special class of non-simply connected ones. The results and facts in Sections 1 and 2 are used in the proof of Theorem 1, which is given in section 3. Finally Theorem 2 is proved in section 4.

Before we finish this introduction, we sketch an argument that, we hope, motivates our proof of Theorem 1. To avoid complications, let's just consider the case $k=0$. In this situation we want to show the following:

Let $\theta\in DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I,\partial)\subset P(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$, and write $\varphi=\Phi(\theta):M\to M$. Suppose that θ cannot be joined by a path to the identity in $P(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$. Then g cannot be joined to ϕ_*g by a path of negatively curved metrics.

Here is an argument that we could tentatively use to prove the statement above. Suppose that there is a smooth path g_u, $u\in[0,1]$, of negatively curved metrics on M, with $g_0=g$ and $g_1=\varphi_*g$. We will use g_u to show that θ can be joined to the identity in $P(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$. We assume that α is an embedded closed geodesic in M. Let Q be the cover of M corresponding to the infinite cyclic group generated by α. Each g_u lifts to a g_u on Q (we use the same letter). Then α lifts isometrically to (Q,g) and we can identify Q with $\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that α corresponds $\mathbb{S}^1=\mathbb{S}^1\times\{0\}$ and such that each $\{z\}\times\mathbb{R}v$, $v\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, corresponds to a g geodesic ray emanating perpendicularly from α. For each u, the complete negatively curved manifold (Q,g_u) contains exactly one closed geodesic α_u, and α_u is freely homotopic to α. Let us assume that $\alpha_u=\alpha$, for all $u\in[0,1]$. Moreover, let us assume that g_u coincides with g in the normal tubular neighborhood W of length one of α. Note that $Q\setminus int\,W$ can be identified with $(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2})\times[1,\infty)$. Using geodesic rays emanating perpendicularly from

α, we can define a path of diffeomorphisms $f_u : (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times [1, \infty) \to (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times [1, \infty)$ by $f_u = [exp]^{-1} \circ exp^u$, where exp^u denotes the normal (to α) exponential map with respect to g_u, and $exp = exp^0$. Using "the space at infinity" $\partial_\infty Q$ of Q (see Section 2) we can extend f_u to $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times [1, \infty]$ which we identify with $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times [0, 1]$. Finally, it is proved that f_1 can be joined to θ in $P(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2})$ (see Claim 6 in Section 3). This is enough because f_0 is the identity.

Along the "sketch of the proof" above we have of course made several unproven claims (that will be proven later); and we have also made a few assumptions: (1) α is an embedded closed geodesic, (2) $\alpha_u = \alpha$ for all u, (3) g_u coincides with g in a neighborhood of g. Item (1) can be obtained "after a deformation" in Q. Item (2) can also be obtained after a deformation in Q using the results of Section 2. We do not know how to obtain (3) after a deformation (and this might even be impossible to do) so we have to use some approximation methods based on Lemma 1.6 which implies that we can take a very thin normal neighborhood W of α such that all normal (to α) g_u geodesics rays will intersect ∂W transversally in one point.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Tom Goodwillie for communicating the p-torsion Theorem to us. This Theorem appears at the end of Section 4 and is crucial to the proof of Theorem 2 (when $k > 1$).

Section 1. Preliminaries.

For smooth manifolds A, B, with A compact, $C^\infty(A, B)$, $DIFF(A)$, $Emb\,(A, B)$ denote the space of smooth maps, smooth self-diffeomorphisms and smooth embeddings of A into B, respectively. We consider these spaces with the smooth topology. The l-disc will be denoted by $\mathbb{D}^l$. We choose $u_0 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ as the base point of $\mathbb{S}^l \subset \mathbb{D}^{l+1}$. For a map $f : A \times B \to C$, we denote by f_a the map given by $f_a(b) = f(a, b)$. A map $f : \mathbb{D}^l \times A \to B$ is *radial near* ∂ if $f_u = f_{tu}$ for all $u \in \partial\mathbb{D}^l = \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$ and $t \in [1/2, 1]$. Note that any map $f : \mathbb{D}^l \times A \to B$ is homotopic rel $\partial\mathbb{D}^l \times A$ to a map that is radial near ∂. The next Lemma is a special case of a parametrized version of Whitney's Embedding Theorem.

Lemma 1.1. *Let P^m and D^{k+1} be compact smooth manifolds and let T be a closed smooth submanifold of P. Let Q be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $H' : D \times P \to Q$ be a smooth map such that: (1) $H'_u|_T : T \to Q$ is an embedding for all $u \in D$, (2) H'_u is an embedding for all $u \in \partial D$. Assume that that $k + 2m + 1 < n$. Then H' is homotopy equivalent to a smooth map $\bar{H} : D \times P \to Q$ such that*

1. *$\bar{H}_u : P \to Q$ is an embedding, for all $u \in D$.*

2. *$\bar{H}|_{D\times T} = H'|_{D\times T}$.*

3. *$\bar{H}|_{\partial D\times P} = H'|_{\partial D\times P}$.*

Proof. It is not difficult to construct a smooth map $g : P \to \mathbb{R}^q$ such that: (i) $g : P \setminus T \to \mathbb{R}^q \setminus \{0\}$ is a smooth embedding (ii) $g(T) = \{0\} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ (iii) $D_p\, g\,(v) \neq 0$, for every $p \in T$ and $v \in T_pP \setminus T_pT$. Let $\varpi : D \to [0,1]$ be a smooth map such that $\varpi^{-1}(0) = \partial D$. Define $G = H' \times g : D \times P \to Q \times \mathbb{R}^q$, $G(u,p) = (H'(u,p), \varpi(u)g(p))$. Then, for each $u \in D$, $G_u : P \to Q \times \mathbb{R}^q$ is an embedding. Moreover, $G|_{D\times T} = H'_{D\times T}$, where we consider $Q = Q \times \{0\} \subset Q \times \mathbb{R}^q$. Also $G|_{\partial D\times P} = H'|_{\partial D\times P}$. Note that G is homotopic to H' because g is homotopy trivial. Now, as in the proof of Whitney's Theorem, we want to reduce the dimension q to $q-1$. So assume $q > 0$. Given $w \in \mathbb{S}^{n+q-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+q} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q$, $w \notin \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{q-1} = \mathbb{R}^{n+q-1}$, denote by $L_w : \mathbb{R}^{n+q} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+q-1}$ the linear projection "in the w-direction". As in the proof of Whitney's Theorem, using the dimension restriction and Sard's Theorem, we can find a "good" w:

Claim. *There is a w such that $L_w|_{G_u(P)} : G_u(P) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+q-1}$ is an embedding, for all $u \in D$.*

For this consider:

$$r : D \times (\,(P \times P) \setminus \Delta(P)\,) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+q}, \quad r(u,p,q) = \frac{H'_u(p) - H'_u(q)}{|H'_u(p) - H'_u(q)|}$$

$$s : D \times SP \to \mathbb{R}^{n+q}, \quad s(u,v) = \frac{D_p(H'_u)(v)}{|D_p(H'_u)(v)|}, \ \ v \in T_pP$$

Here $\Delta(P) = \{(p,p) : p \in P\}$ and SP is the sphere bundle of P (with respect to any metric). Since $(k+1) + 2m < n$ and $q > 0$, by Sard's Theorem the images of r and s have measure zero in $\mathbb{S}^{n+q-1}$. This proves the Claim.

Also, since D and P are compact, we can choose w close enough to (0,...,0,1) such that $L_w(\,G(D \times P)\,) \subset Q \times \mathbb{R}^{q-1}$. Define $G_1 = L_wG$. In the same way we define $G_2 : D \times P \to Q \times \mathbb{R}^{q-2}$ and so on. Our desired map $\bar{H}$ is $\bar{H} = G_q$. This proves the Lemma.

In what follows of this section we consider $Q = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} = (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where the inclusion $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by $(z,s) \mapsto e^s z$. That is, we identify $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ with the open set $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, hence we identify $Q = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\,\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}\,)$. Also, identify $\mathbb{S}^1$ with $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\} \subset Q$ and denote by $h_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} = Q$ the inclusion. For $t > 0$ denote by $\kappa_t : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ given by $\kappa_t(a,b) = (ta, b)$. Note that κ_t restricts to $Q = (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $h, h' : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to Q$ be continuous maps such that h_u, h'_u are homotopy equivalent, for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$. That is there is $H' : \mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I \to Q$ such that $H'(u,z,0) = h(u,z)$, $H'(u,z,1) = h'(u,z)$, for all $(u,z) \in \mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{S}^1$. For $k = 0$ also assume that the loop $h(t,1) * H'(1,1,t) * [h'(t,1)]^{-1} * [H'(-1,1,t)]^{-1}$ is null-homotopic. Then H' extends to $H' : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I \to Q$ such that H'_u is a homotopy from h_u to h'_u, that is*

1. $H'_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}} = h_u$, *for $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.*

2. $H'_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}} = h'_u$, *for $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.*

Proof. First define $H' = h$ on $\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ and $H' = h'$ on $\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}$. Note that H' is defined on $\partial\,(\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \{1\} \times I)$. Since Q is aspherical, we can extend H' to $\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \{1\} \times I$ (for $k = 0$ use the assumption given in the statement of the Lemma). H' is now defined on $A = \mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0, 1\} \cup \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \{1\} \times I$. Since $\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I$ is obtained from A by attaching a $(k+3)$-cell and Q is aspherical, we can extend H' to $\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I$. This proves the Lemma.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $h : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to Q$ be a smooth map which is radial near ∂. Assume that $h_u \in Emb\,(\mathbb{S}^1, Q)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ and $h_u = h_0$, for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$. For $k = 0$ assume that the loop $h(u, 1)$ is homotopy trivial. If $k + 5 < n$ then there is a smooth map $\hat{H} : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I \to Q$ such that*

1. $\hat{H}_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}} = h_u$, *for* $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.

2. $\hat{H}_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}} = h_0$, *for* $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.

3. $\hat{H}_u$ *is a smooth isotopy from* h_u *to* h_0.

4. $(\hat{H}_u)_t = h_0$, *for all* $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$ *and* $t \in I$. *Here* $(\hat{H}_u)_t(z) = \hat{H}(u, z, t)$.

Proof. During this proof some isotopies and functions have to be smoothed near endpoints and boundaries. We do not do this to avoid unnecessary technicalities.

Let $D = \mathbb{D}^{k+1}_{1/2}$ be the closed $(k + 1)$-disc of radius $1/2$. Since $h(\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1) \subset Q = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\,\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}\,)$, we have that $h(\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ does not intersect $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. Therefore the distance d from $h(\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ to $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ is positive. Let $c < 1$ be such that $c < d$.

Definition of $(\hat{H}_u)_t$ for $t \in [1/2, 1]$. In this case define for $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$, $(\hat{H}_{su})_t = \kappa_\lambda h_0$, where **(1)** $\lambda = 1-4(1-t)(1-s)+4(1-t)(1-s)c$ if $s \in [1/2, 1]$ and **(2)** $\lambda = (2t-1)+(2-2t)c$ $s \in [0, 1/2]$.

Definition of $(\hat{H}_s u)_t$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $s \in [1/2, 1]$. Define for $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$, $s \in [1/2, 1]$: $(\hat{H}_{su})_t = \kappa_\lambda$, where $\lambda = 1 - 4t(1 - s) + 4t(1 - s)c$, for $t \in [0, 1/2]$.

Definition of $(\hat{H}_s u)_t$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $s \in [0, 1/2]$. Note that $D = \{su : u \in \mathbb{S}^k,\ s \in [0, 1/2]\}$. We now want to define $\hat{H}$ on $D \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I$. To do this first apply Lemma 1.2 taking: $h'_u = \kappa_c h_0$ for all $u \in D$, $H'(u, z, t) = \hat{H}(u, z, t/2)$, for $(u, z, t) \in \mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I$. Hence H' extends to $D \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times I$. Now apply Lemma 1.1 taking: $P = \mathbb{S}^1 \times I$, $T = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0, 1\}$. To apply this Lemma note that $H'_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0,1\}}$ is an embedding, for all $u \in D$, because $H'_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}} = h_u$, $H'_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}} = \kappa_c h_0$ are embeddings and the images of h_u and $\kappa_c h_0$ are disjoint (by the choice of c). Let then $\bar{H}$ be the map given by Lemma 1.1. Finally define $\hat{H}(u, z, t) = \bar{H}(u, z, 2t)$. This proves the Lemma.

Extending the isotopies $\hat{H}_u$ between h_u and h'_u given in the Lemma above, to compactly supported ambient isotopies we obtain the following Corollary:

Lemma 1.4. *Let $h : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to Q$, be a smooth map which is radial near ∂. Assume $h_u \in Emb(\mathbb{S}^1, Q)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ and that $h_u = h_0 \in Emb(\mathbb{S}^1, Q)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$, and $k + 5 < n$. Identify $\mathbb{S}^1$ with $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\} \subset Q$. For $k = 0$ assume that the loop $h(u, 1)$ is null-homotopic. Then there is a smooth map $H : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times Q \times I \to Q$ such that*

1. $H_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}} = h_u$, *for* $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.
2. $H_u|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}} = h_0$, *for* $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.
3. H_u *is a ambient isotopy from* h_u *to* h_0*, that is* $(H_u)_t : Q \to Q$ *is a diffeomorphism for all* $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$, $t \in I$ *and* $(H_u)_1 = 1_Q$*. Also,* H_u *is supported on a compact subset* $K \subset Q$*, where* K *is independent of* $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.
4. $(H_u)_t = 1_Q$, *for all* $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$ *and* $t \in I$.

Remark. Note that Lemma 1.4 can be paraphrased as follows: *the homotopy fiber of $Emb(\mathbb{S}^1, Q) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1, Q)$ is $(n-5)$-connected.*

We will also need the result stated in Lemma 1.6, below. First we prove a simplified version of it. The k-sphere of radius δ, $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} : |v| = \delta\}$, will be denoted by $\mathbb{S}^k(\delta)$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let X be a compact space and $f : X \to DIFF(\mathbb{R}^l)$ be continuous and write $f_x : \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}^l$ for the image of x in $DIFF(\mathbb{R}^l)$. Assume $f_x(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$, for all $x \in X$. Then there is a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, for every $x \in X$ and $\delta \leq \delta_0$, the map $\mathbb{S}^{l-1}(\delta) \to \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$ given by $v \mapsto \frac{f_x(v)}{|f_x(v)|}$ is a diffeomorphism. Moreover, the map $X \to DIFF(\mathbb{S}^{l-1}(\delta), \mathbb{S}^{l-1})$, given by $x \mapsto (v \mapsto \frac{f_x(v)}{|f_x(v)|})$, is continuous.*

Proof. First note that for all $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$, the maps in $DIFF(\mathbb{S}^{l-1}(\delta), \mathbb{S}^{l-1})$ given by $(v \mapsto \frac{f_x(v)}{|f_x(v)|})$ all have degree 1 or -1. For $v \in \mathbb{R}^l \setminus \{0\}$, denote by $L_x(v)$ the image of the tangent space $T_v(\mathbb{S}^{l-1}(|v|))$ by the derivative of $f_x : \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}^l$. It is enough to prove that there is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $f_x(v) \notin L_x(v)$, for all $x \in X$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^l$ satisfying $0 < |v| \leq \delta_0$ (because then the maps $(v \mapsto \frac{f_x(v)}{|f_x(v)|})$ would be immersions of degree 1 (or -1), hence diffeomorphisms).

Suppose this does not happen. Then there is a sequence of points $(x_m, v_m) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^l \setminus \{0\}$ with

a. $v_m \to 0$.

b. $f_{x_m}(v_m) \in L_{x_m}(v_m)$.

Write $w_m = \frac{v_m}{|v_m|} \in \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$, $r_m = |v_m|$, $f_m = f_{x_m}$ and $D_m = D_{v_m} f_m$. We can assume that $x_m \to x \in X$, and that $w_m \to w \in \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$. It follows that there is an $u_m \in T_{v_m}(\mathbb{S}^{l-1}(r_m))$, $|u_m| = 1$, such that $D_m . u_m$ is parallel to $f_m(v_m)$. Note that $\langle u_m, v_m \rangle = 0$ and $D_m(u_m) \neq 0$.

By changing the sign of u_m we can assume that $\frac{D_m(u_m)}{|D_m(u_m)|} = \frac{f_m(v_m)}{|f_m(v_m)|}$. Also, we can suppose that $u_m \to u \in \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$.

Claim. *We have that* $\frac{f_m(v_m)}{|f_m(v_m)|} \to \frac{D_0 f_x(w)}{|D_0 f_x(w)|}$, *as* $\quad m \to \infty$.

Proof of the Claim. Since f is continuous, all second order partial derivatives of the coordinate functions of the f_x at v, with, say, $|v| \leq 1$, are bounded by some constant. Hence there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $|f_m(v_m) - D_0 f_m(v_m)| = |f_m(v_m) - f_m(0) - D_0 f_m(v_m)| \leq C\,|v_m|^2$, for sufficiently large m. It follows that $\frac{f_m(v_m)}{|v_m|} \to lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{D_0 f_m(v_m)}{|v_m|} = D_0 f_x(w) \neq 0$. This implies that $\frac{|f_m(v_m)|}{|v_m|} \to |D_0 f_x(w)| \neq 0$, thus $\frac{|v_m|}{|f_m(v_m)|} \to \frac{1}{|D_0 f_x(w)|}$. Therefore $lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{f_m(v_m)}{|f_m(v_m)|)} = lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{f_m(v_m)}{|v_m|} \frac{|v_m|}{|f_m(v_m)|} = D_0 f_x(w)\, \frac{1}{|D_0 f_x(w)|}$. This proves the Claim.

But $\frac{D_m(u_m)}{|D_m(u_m)|} \to \frac{D_0 f_x(u)}{|D_0 f_x(u)|}$, therefore $\frac{D_0 f_x(u)}{|D_0 f_x(u)|} = \frac{D_0 f_x(w)}{|D_0 f_x(w)|}$. This is a contradiction since $D_0 f_x$ is an isomorphism and $u, w \in \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$ are linearly independent (because $\langle u, w\rangle = lim_m \langle u_m, \frac{v_m}{|v_m|}\rangle = 0$). This proves the Lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *Let X be a compact space, N a closed smooth manifold and $f : X \to DIFF(N \times \mathbb{R}^l)$ be continuous and write $f_x = (f_x^1, f_x^2) : N \times \mathbb{R}^l \to N \times \mathbb{R}^l$ for the image of x in $DIFF(N \times \mathbb{R}^l)$. Assume $f_x(z, 0) = (z, 0)$, for all $x \in X$ and $z \in N$, that is, $f_x|_N = 1_N$, where we identify N with $N \times \{0\}$. Then there is a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, for every $x \in X$, the map $N \times \mathbb{S}^{l-1}(\delta) \to N \times \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$ given by $(z, v) \mapsto (f_x^1(z, v), \frac{f_x^2(z,v)}{|f_x^2(z,v)|})$ is a diffeomorphism for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$. Moreover, the map $X \to DIFF(N \times \mathbb{S}^{l-1}(\delta), N \times \mathbb{S}^{l-1})$, given by $x \mapsto (\ (z, v) \mapsto (f_x^1(z, v), \frac{f_x^2(z,v)}{|f_x^2(z,v)|})\ )$, is continuous.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the Lemma above. Here are the details. Let $d = dim\, N$ and consider N with some Riemannian metric. For $(z, v) \in N \times \mathbb{R}^l \setminus \{0\}$, denote by $L_x(z, v)$ the image of the tangent space $T_{(z,v)}(N \times \mathbb{S}^{l-1}(|v|))$ by the derivative of f_x. As before it is enough to prove that there is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $(0, f_x^2(z, v)) \notin L_x(z, v) \subset (T_z N) \times \mathbb{R}^l = T_{(z,v)}(N \times \mathbb{R}^l)$, for all $x \in X$ and $(z, v) \in N \times \mathbb{R}^l$ satisfying $\ 0 < |v| \leq \delta_0$. Before we prove this we have a Claim.

Claim 1. *We have:*

1. *$D_{(z,0)} f_x^1(y, 0) = y$, for all $z \in N$ and $y \in T_z N$.*
2. *$D_{(z,0)} f_x^2(y, u) = 0$ implies that $u = 0$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Since $f_x|_N = 1_N$ we have that $D_{(z,0)} f_x(y, 0) = (y, 0)$, for all $y \in T_z N$. Hence (1) holds. Suppose $D_{(z,0)} f_x^2(y, u) = 0$. Write $y' = D_{(z,0)} f_x^1(y, u)$. Then $D_{(z,u)} f_x(y, u) = (y', 0) = D_{(z,0)} f_x(y', 0)$. But $D_{(z,0)} f_x$ is an isomorphism therefore $(y, u) = (y', 0)$. This proves the Claim.

Suppose now that (2) does not happen. Then there is a sequence of points $(x_m, z_m, v_m) \in X \times N \times \mathbb{R}^l \setminus \{0\}$ with

a. $v_m \to 0$.

b. $(0, f^2_{x_m}(z_m, v_m)) \in L_{x_m}(z_m, v_m)$.

Write $w_m = \frac{v_m}{|v_m|} \in \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$, $r_m = |v_m|$, $f_m = f_{x_m}$ and $D^i_m = D_{v_m} f^i_m$, $i = 1, 2$. We can assume that $x_m \to x \in X$, $z_m \to z$ and $w_m \to w \in \mathbb{S}^{l-1}$. It follows that there is a $(s_m, u_m) \in T_{(z_m, v_m)}(N \times \mathbb{S}^{l-1}(r_m))$, $|s_m|^2 + |u_m|^2 = 1$, such that: (i) $D^1_m(s_m, u_m) = 0$ (ii) $D^2_m(s_m, u_m)$ is parallel to $f^2_m(z_m, v_m)$. We have that $\langle u_m, v_m \rangle = 0$. Since $D_m = D_{v_m} f_m$ is an isomorphism, by (i), $D^2_m(s_m, u_m) \neq 0$. By changing the sign of (s_m, u_m) we can assume that $\frac{D^2_m(s_m,u_m)}{|D^2_m(s_m,u_m)|} = \frac{f^2_m(z_m,v_m)}{|f^2_m(z_m,v_m)|}$. Also, we can suppose that $u_m \to u \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and $s_m \to s \in T_z N$.

Claim 2. *We have that* $\frac{f^2_m(z_m,v_m)}{|f^2_m(z_m,v_m)|} \to \frac{D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0,w)}{|D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0,w)|}$, *as* $m \to \infty$.

Proof of the Claim. Since f^2 is continuous, all second order partial derivatives of the coordinate functions of the f^2_x at v, with, say, $|v| \leq 1$, are bounded by some constant. Hence there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $|f^2_m(z_m, v_m) - D_{(z_m,0)} f^2_m(0, v_m)| = |f^2_m(z_m, v_m) - f^2_m(z_m, 0) - D_{(z_m,0)} f^2_m(0, v_m)| \leq C\,|(0, v_m)|^2 = |v_m|^2$, for sufficiently large m. It follows that $\frac{f^2_m(z_m,v_m)}{|(0,v_m)|} \to lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{D_{(z_m,0)} f^2_m(0,v_m)}{|(0,v_m)|} = D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0, w)$. Note that, by claim 1 and $w \neq 0$, $D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0, w) \neq 0$. This implies that $\frac{|f^2_m(z_m,v_m)|}{|(0,v_m)|} \to |D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0, w)| \neq 0$, thus $\frac{|(0,v_m)|}{|f^2_m(z_m,v_m)|} \to \frac{1}{|D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0,w)|}$. Therefore $lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{f^2_m(z_m,v_m)}{|f^2_m(z_m,v_m)|} = lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{f^2_m(z_m,v_m)}{|(0,v_m)|} \frac{|(0,v_m)|}{|f^2_m(z_m,v_m)|} = D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0, w)\, \frac{1}{|D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0,w)|}$. This proves the Claim.

But $\frac{D^2_m(s_m,u_m)}{|D^2_m(s_m,u_m)|} \to \frac{D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(s,u)}{|D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(s,u)|}$, therefore $\frac{D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(s,u)}{|D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(s,u)|} = \frac{D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0,w)}{|D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0,w)|}$. Consequently $D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(s, u) = D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(0, w')$, where $w' = \lambda w$, for some $\lambda > 0$. Hence $D_{(z,0)} f^2_x(s, u - w') = 0$, and by Claim 1, $u = w' = \lambda w$ a contradiction because $|w| = 1$ and $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$. This proves the Lemma.

Section 2. Space at infinity of some complete negatively curved manifolds.

Let (X_1, d_1) and (X_2, d_2) be two metric spaces. A map $f : X_1 \to X_2$ is a quasi-isometric embedding if there are $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ such that $\frac{1}{\lambda} d_1(x, y) - \epsilon \leq d_2(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda\, d_1(x, y) + \epsilon$, for all $x, y \in X_1$. A quasi-isometric embedding f is called a quasi-isometry if there is a constant $K \geq 0$ such that every point in X_2 lies in the K-neighborhood of the image of f. A quasi-geodesic in a metric space (X, d) is a quasi-isometric embedding $\beta : I \to X$, where the interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is considered with the canonical metric $d_{\mathbb{R}}(t, s) = |t - s|$. If $I = [a, \infty)$, β is called a quasi-geodesic ray. If we want to specify the constants λ and ϵ in the definitions above we will use the prefix (λ, ϵ). It is a simple exercise to prove that the composition of a (λ, ϵ)-quasi-isomeric embedding with a (λ', ϵ')-quasi-isomeric embedding is a $(\lambda\lambda', \lambda'\epsilon + \epsilon')$-quasi-isomeric embedding. Also, if $f : X_1 \to X_2$ is a quasi-isometry and the

Hausdorff distance between some subsets $A, B \subset X_1$ is finite, then the Hausdorff distance between $f(A)$ and $f(B)$ is also finite. In this paper a *unit speed geodesic* will always mean an isometric embedding with domain some interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Also a *geodesic* will mean a function $t \mapsto \alpha(\rho t)$, where α is a unit speed geodesic and $\rho > 0$. Then every geodesic is a quasi-geodesic with $\epsilon = 0$, that is, a $(\lambda, 0)$-quasi-geodesic, for some λ.

Lemma 2.1. *Let g, g' be two complete Riemannian metrics on the manifold Q. Suppose there are constants $a, b > 0$ such that $a^2 \leq g'(v,v) \leq b^2$ for every $v \in TQ$ with $g(v,v) = 1$. Then the identity $(Q,g) \to (Q,g')$ is a $(\lambda, 0)$-quasi-isometry, where $\lambda = max\{\frac{1}{a}, b\}$.*

Proof. The condition above implies: $a^2\, g(v,v) \leq g'(v,v) \leq b^2\, g(v,v)$, which in turn implies $\frac{1}{b^2}\, g'(v,v) \leq g(v,v) \leq \frac{1}{a^2}\, g'(v,v)$, for all $v \in Q$. Let d, d' be the intrinsic metrics on Q defined by g, g', respectively. Let $x, y \in Q$ and $\beta : [0,1] \to Q$ be a path whose endpoints are x, y and such that $d(x,y) = length_g(\beta) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g(\beta'(t), \beta'(t))}\, dt$. Then $d'(x,y) \leq length_{g'}(\beta) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g'(\beta'(t), \beta'(t))}\, dt \leq b \int_0^1 \sqrt{g(\beta'(t), \beta'(t))}\, dt = b\, d(x,y)$. In the same way we prove $d \leq \frac{1}{a}\, d'$. Then the identity 1_Q is a quasi-isometry with $\epsilon = 0$ and $\lambda = max\{\frac{1}{a}, b\}$. This proves the Lemma.

In what remains of this section (Q,g) will denote a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvatures in the interval $[c_1, c_2]$, $c_1 < c_2 < 0$, and $S \subset Q$ a closed totally geodesic submanifold of Q, such that the map $\pi_1(S) \to \pi_1(Q)$ is an isomorphism. Write $\Gamma = \pi_1(S) = \pi_1(Q)$. Also, d will denote the intrinsic metric on Q induced by g. Note that S is convex in Q, hence $d|_S$ is also the intrinsic metric on S induced by $g|_S$. We can assume that the universal cover $\tilde{S}$ of S is contained in the universal cover $\tilde{Q}$ of Q. We will consider $\tilde{Q}$ with the lifted metric $\tilde{g}$ and the induced distance will be denoted by $\tilde{d}$. The group Γ acts by isometries on $\tilde{Q}$ such that $\Gamma(S) = S$ and $Q = \tilde{Q}/\Gamma$, $S = \tilde{S}/\Gamma$. The covering projection will be denoted by $p : \tilde{Q} \to \tilde{Q}/\Gamma = Q$. Let T be the normal bundle of S, that is, for $z \in S$, $T_z = \{v \in T_zQ \,:\, g(v,u) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in T_zS\} \subset T_zQ$. Write $\pi(v) = z$ if $v \in T_z$, that is, $\pi : T \to S$ is the bundle projection. The unit sphere bundle and unit disc bundle of T will be denoted by N and W, respectively. Note that the normal bundle, normal sphere bundle and the normal disc bundle of $\tilde{S}$ in $\tilde{Q}$ are the liftings $\tilde{T}$, $\tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{W}$ of T, N and W, respectively. For $v \in T_qQ$ or $v \in T_q\tilde{Q}$, $v \neq 0$, the map $t \mapsto exp_q(tv)$, $t \geq 0$, will be denoted by c_v and its image will be denoted by the same symbol. Since $\tilde{Q}$ is simply connected, c_v is a geodesic ray, for every $v \in \tilde{N}$. We have the following well known facts.

1. For any closed convex set $C \subset \tilde{Q}$, and a geodesic c, the function $t \mapsto \tilde{d}\,(\, c(t)\, ,\, C\,)$ is convex. This implies 2 below.

2. Let c be a geodesic ray beginning at some $z \in \tilde{S}$. Then either $c \subset \tilde{S}$ or $\tilde{d}\,(\, c(t)\, ,\, \tilde{S}\,) \to \infty$, as $t \to \infty$.

3. For every $v \in T$, $v \neq 0$, c_v is a geodesic ray. Moreover, for non-zero vectors v_1, $v_2 \in T$, with $\pi(v_1) \neq \pi(v_2)$, we have that the function $t \mapsto d\,(\, c_{v_1}(t)\, ,\, c_{v_2}\,)$ tends to ∞ as $t \to \infty$.

4. The exponential map $E : T \to Q$, $E(v) = exp_{\pi(v)}(v)$, is a diffeomorphism. We can define then the submersion $proj : Q \to S$, $proj(q) = z$, if $exp(v) = q$, for some $v \in T_z$. Write also $\eta(q) = |v\,|$ and we have $\eta(q) = d\,(\,q\,,\,S\,)$. Also, the exponential map $\tilde{E} : \tilde{T} \to \tilde{Q}$, $\tilde{E}(v) = exp_{\pi(v)}(v)$, is a diffeomorphism and $\tilde{E}$ is a lifting of E.

5. Since S is compact there is a map ϱ such that: (1) for $q_1, q_2 \in Q$, $\varrho(a)\, d\,(\,proj(q_1)\,,\,proj(q_2)\,) \leq d\,(\,q_1\,,\,q_2,\,)$, where $a = min\{\eta(q_1), \eta(q_2)\}$ (2) $\varrho(0) = 1$, ϱ is an increasing function and tends to ∞ as $t \to \infty$.

6. Recall that we are assuming that all sectional curvatures of $\tilde{Q}$ are less that $c_2 < 0$. Given $\lambda \geq 1$, $\epsilon \geq 0$, there is a number $K = K(\lambda, \epsilon, c_2)$ such that the following happens. For every (λ, ϵ)-quasi-geodesic c in $\tilde{Q}$ there is a unit speed geodesic β with the same endpoints as c, whose Hausdorff distance from c is less or equal K. Note K depends on λ, ϵ, c_2 but not on the particular manifold $\tilde{Q}$ (see, for instance, [1], p. 401; see also Proposition 1.2 on p. 399 of [1]).

Recall that the space at infinity $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ of $\tilde{Q}$ can be defined as $\{\, quasi\!-\!geodesic\ rays\ in\ \tilde{Q}\}/\sim$ where the relation $\sim$ is given by: $\beta_1 \sim \beta_2$ if their Hausdorff distance is finite. We say that a quasi-geodesic β *converges to* $p \in \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ if $\beta \in p$. Fact 6 implies that we can define $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ also by $\{\, geodesics\ rays\ in\ \tilde{Q}\,\}/\sim$. We consider $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ with the usual cone topology (see [1], p. 263). Recall that, for any $q \in \tilde{Q}$, the map $\{v \in T_q\tilde{Q}\ :\ |v| = 1\} \to \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ given by $v \mapsto [c_v]$ is a homeomorphism. Let $\varsigma : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be a homeomorphism that is the identity near 0. We also have that $\overline{(\tilde{Q})} = \tilde{Q} \cup \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ can be given a topology such that the map $\{v \in T_q\tilde{Q}\ :\ |v| \leq 1\} \to \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ given by $v \mapsto exp_q(\varsigma(|v|)\frac{v}{|v|})$, for $|v| < 1$ and $v \mapsto [c_v]$ for $v = 1$, is a homeomorphism. We have some more facts or comments.

7. Given $q \in \tilde{Q}$ and $p \in \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ there is a unique unit speed geodesic ray β beginning at q and converging to p.

8. Since $\tilde{S}$ is convex in $\tilde{Q}$ every geodesic ray in $\tilde{S}$ is a geodesic ray in $\tilde{Q}$. Therefore $\partial_\infty \tilde{S} \subset \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$. For a quasi-geodesic ray β we have: $[\beta] \in \partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$ if and only if β diverges from $\tilde{S}$, that is $\tilde{d}\,(\,\beta(t)\,,\,\tilde{S}\,) \to \infty$, as $t \to \infty$.

9. For every $p \in \partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$ there is a unique $v \in \tilde{N}$ such that c_v converges to p. Moreover, the map $\tilde{A} : \tilde{N} \to \partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$, given by $\tilde{A}(v) = [c_v]$ is a homeomorphism. Furthermore, we can extend $\tilde{A}$ to a homeomorphism $\tilde{W} \to \overline{(\tilde{Q})} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$ by defining $\tilde{A}(v) = \tilde{E}(\varsigma(|v|)\frac{v}{|v|}) = exp_q(\varsigma(|v|)\frac{v}{|v|})$, for $|v| < 1$, $v \in \tilde{W}_q$ (recall that ς is the identity near zero).

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\beta : [a, \infty) \to \tilde{Q}$. *The following are equivalent.*

(i) β *is a quasi-geodesic ray and diverges from* $\tilde{S}$.

(ii) $p\beta$ *is a quasi-geodesic ray.*

Proof. First note that if a path $\alpha(t)$, $t \geq a$, satisfies the (λ, ϵ)-quasi-geodesic ray condition, for $t \geq a' \geq a$, then $\alpha(t)$ satisfies the (λ, ϵ')-quasi-geodesic ray condition, for all $t \geq a$, where $\epsilon' = \epsilon + diameter(\alpha([a, a']))$.

(i) implies (ii). Let β satisfy (i). Then there are $\lambda \geq 1$, $\epsilon \geq 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\lambda}|t - t'| - \epsilon \leq \tilde{d}(\beta(t), \beta(t')) \leq \lambda|t - t'| + \epsilon$, for every $t, t' \geq a$. Fix $t, t' \geq a$ and let α be the unit speed geodesic segment joining $\beta(t)$ to $\beta(t')$. Then $p\alpha$ joins $p\beta(t)$ to $p\beta(t')$. Therefore $d(p\beta(t), p\beta(t')) \leq length_g(p\alpha) = length_{\tilde{g}}(\alpha) = d(\beta(t), \beta(t')) \leq \lambda|t - t'| + \epsilon$. We proved that $d(p\beta(t), p\beta(t')) \leq \lambda|t - t'| + \epsilon$.

We show the other inequality. By item 6, β is at finite Hausdorff distance (say, $K \geq 0$) from a geodesic ray α. Since β (hence α) gets far away from $\tilde{S}$, it converges to a point at infinity in $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$. Therefore we can assume that $\alpha(t) = c_{\tilde{v}}(t) = exp_{\tilde{z}}(t\tilde{v})$ for some $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{T}_{\tilde{z}}$, with $|\tilde{v}| = 1$. It follows that $p\beta$ is at Hausdorff distance $K' = K + d(\beta(a), \tilde{S})$ from c_v, where $v \in T_z$ is the image of $\tilde{v}$ by the derivative $Dp(\tilde{z})$, and $z = p(\tilde{z})$. Note that c_v is a geodesic ray in Q (see item 3). Let U denote the K neighborhood of c_v in Q and $\tilde{U}$ the K neighborhood of $c_{\tilde{v}}$ in $\tilde{Q}$. We claim that $p : \tilde{U} \to U$ satisfies: $d(p(x), p(y)) \geq \tilde{d}(x, y) - 4K$, for $x, y \in \tilde{U}$. To prove this let $t, t' \geq$ such that $d(x, c(t)) = d(x, c_v) \leq K$ and $d(y, c(t')) = d(y, c_v) \leq K$. We have $\tilde{d}(x, y) \leq \tilde{d}(x, c_{\tilde{v}}(t)) + \tilde{d}(c_{\tilde{v}}(t), c_{\tilde{v}}(t')) + \tilde{d}(c_{\tilde{v}}(t'), y) \leq 2K + |t - t'| = 2K + d(c_v(t), c_v(t')) \leq 2K + d(c_v(t), p(x)) + d(p(x), p(y)) + d(p(y), c_v(t')) \leq 4K + d(p(x), p(y))$. This proves our claim. Consequently $d(p\beta(t), p\beta(t')) \geq \tilde{d}(\beta(t), \beta(t')) - 4K \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}|t - t'| - (\epsilon + 4K)$.

(ii) implies (i). Let β satisfy (ii). Since $p\beta$ is a proper map its distance to S must tend to infinity. Hence the distance of β to $\tilde{S}$ also tends to infinity.

Let $p\beta$ satisfy $\frac{1}{\lambda}|t - t'| - \epsilon \leq d(p\beta(t), p\beta(t')) \leq \lambda|t - t'| + \epsilon$, for some $\lambda \geq 1$, $\epsilon \geq 0$. Fix $t, t' \geq a$ and let α be the unit speed geodesic segment joining $\beta(t)$ to $\beta(t')$. Then $p\alpha$ joins $p\beta(t)$ to $p\beta(t')$. Therefore $\tilde{d}(\beta(t), \beta(t')) = length_{\tilde{g}}(\alpha) = length_g(p\alpha) \geq d(p\beta(t), p\beta(t')) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}|t - t'| - \epsilon$. It follows that $\frac{1}{\lambda}|t - t'| - \epsilon \leq \tilde{d}(\beta(t), \beta(t'))$.

We prove the other inequality. Since S is compact and by item 5, the radius of injectivity of a point in Q tends to infinity as the points gets far from S. Hence there is $a' \geq a$ such that for every $t \geq a'$, the ball of radius $e = \lambda + \epsilon$ centered at $\beta(t)$ is convex. Let $t' > t > a'$ and n an integer such that $n < t' - t \leq n + 1$. Let α_k, $k = 1, ..., n$, be the unit speed geodesic segment from $p\beta(t + k - 1)$ to $p\beta(t + k)$, and α_{n+1} the unit speed geodesic segment from $p\beta(t + n)$ to $p\beta(t')$. Note that $length_g(\alpha_k) = d(p\beta(t + k - 1), p\beta(t + k)) \leq \lambda + \epsilon = e$. Therefore $p\beta|_{[t+k-1,t+k]}$ is homotopic, rel endpoints, to α_k (analogously for α_{n+1}). Let α the concatenation $\alpha_1 * ... * \alpha_{n+1}$. Then α is homotopic, rel endpoints, to $p\beta|_{[t,t']}$. Note that the length of α is $\leq (n+1)e$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the lifting of α beginning at $\beta(a')$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}$ is homotopic, rel endpoints, to $\beta|_{[t,t']}$. Hence $\tilde{d}(\beta(t), \beta(t')) \leq length(\tilde{\alpha}) \leq (n+1)e = ne + e < e(t' - t) + e$. We showed that $\frac{1}{\lambda}|t - t'| - \epsilon \leq \tilde{d}(\beta(t), \beta(t')) < (\lambda + \epsilon)|t' - t| + (\lambda + \epsilon)$. This proves the Lemma.

Let Q_1, Q_2 be two complete simply connected negatively curved manifolds. If β is a quasi-geodesic in Q_1 and $f : Q_1 \to Q_2$ is a quasi-isometry then $f(\beta)$ is also a quasi-geodesic. Also, if two subsets of Q_1 have finite Hausdorff distance, their images under f will have finite Hausdorff distance as well. Therefore f induces a map $f_\infty : \partial_\infty Q_1 \to \partial_\infty Q_2$. Hence f

extends to $\bar{f} : \overline{Q}_1 \to \overline{Q}_2$ by $\bar{f}|_{\partial_\infty Q_1} = f_\infty$ and $\bar{f}|_{Q_1} = f$. We have

10. For every quasi-isometry $f : Q_1 \to Q_2$, $f_\infty : \partial_\infty Q_1 \to \partial_\infty Q_2$ is a homeomorphism. In addition, if f is a homeomorphism, then $\bar{f}$ is a homeomorphism.

11. Let g' be another complete Riemannian metric on $\tilde{Q}$ whose sectional curvatures are also $\leq c_2 < 0$, and such that there are constants $a, b > 0$ with $a^2 \leq g'(v,v) \leq b^2$ for every $v \in T\tilde{Q}$ with $\tilde{g}(v,v) = 1$, and such that $\tilde{S}$ is also a convex subset of $(\tilde{Q}, g')$. Then $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ is the same if defined using $\tilde{g}$ or g'. Moreover item 9 above also holds for $(\tilde{Q}, g')$ (with respect to all proper concepts defined using g' instead of $\tilde{g}$). This is because the identity $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{g}) \to (\tilde{Q}, g')$ induces the homeomorphism $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \to \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ that preserves $\partial_\infty \tilde{S}$ (see Lemma 2.1 and item 10).

Since Γ acts by isometries on $\tilde{Q}$, we have that Γ acts on $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$ (see item 10). Also, since Γ preserves $\tilde{S}$, Γ also preserves $\partial_\infty \tilde{S}$. Hence Γ acts on $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$. Since S is closed, we have

12. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma : \partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S} \to \partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$ has no fixed points. Therefore the action of Γ on $\overline{(\tilde{Q})} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$ is free. Moreover, the action of Γ on $\overline{(\tilde{Q})} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}$ is properly discontinuous.

We now define the space at infinity $\partial_\infty Q$ of Q as $\{\, quasi-geodesic\ rays\ in\ Q \}/\sim$. As before, the relation $\sim$ is given by: $\beta_1 \sim \beta_2$ if their Hausdorff distance is finite. We can define a topology on $\partial_\infty Q$ in the same way as for $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$, but we can take advantage of the already defined topology of $\partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$.

Lemma 2.3. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between* $\partial_\infty Q$ *and* $\left(\partial_\infty \tilde{Q} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}\right) / \Gamma$.

Proof. By path lifting and Lemma 2.2 there is a one-to-one correspondence between the sets $\{\, quasi-geodesic\ rays\ in\ Q \}$ and $\{\, quasi-geodesic\ rays\ in\ \tilde{Q}\ that\ diverge\ from\ \tilde{S} \}/\Gamma$. Then the correspondence $[\beta] \mapsto p(\beta)$, for quasi-geodesic rays in $\tilde{Q}$ that diverge from $\tilde{S}$ is one-to-one (see item 8). This proves the Lemma.

We define then the topology of $\partial_\infty Q$ such that the one-to-one correspondence mentioned in the proof of the Lemma is a homeomorphism. Also, we define the topology on $\overline{Q} = Q \cup \partial_\infty Q$ such that $\left(\overline{(\tilde{Q})} \setminus \partial_\infty \tilde{S}\right) / \Gamma \to \overline{Q}$ is a homeomorphism. It is straightforward to verify that Q and $\partial_\infty Q$ are subspaces of $\overline{Q}$ (see also item 12). The next Lemma is a version of item 9 for Q.

Lemma 2.4. *For every* $p \in \partial_\infty Q$ *there is a unique* $v \in N$ *such that* c_v *converges to* p. *Moreover, the map* $A : N \to \partial_\infty Q$, *given by* $A(v) = [c_v]$ *is a homeomorphism. Furthermore, we can extend* A *to a homeomorphism* $W \to \partial_\infty Q$ *by defining* $A(v) = E((\varsigma(|v|)\frac{v}{|v|}))$, *for* $|v| < 1$. *(Recall* ς *is the identity near 0.) Also,* $\tilde{A}$ *is a lifting of* A.

Proof. The first statement follows from items 4 and 5. Define $A(v) = p\tilde{A}(\tilde{v})$, where $Dp(\tilde{v}) = v$. Items 9 and 12 imply the Lemma. See also item 4.

We will write $\eta([c_v]) = \infty$ and $E(\infty v) = [c_v]$, for $v \in N$ (see item 5).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $v \in N$ and $q_n = E(t_n v_n)$, $t_n \in [0, \infty]$, $v_n \in T$ and $|v_n|$ bounded away from both 0 and $+\infty$. Then $q_n \to [c_v]$ (in $\partial_\infty Q$) if and only if $t_n \to \infty$ and $v_n \to v$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.4.

We also have a version of item 11 for Q.

Lemma 2.6. *Let g' be another complete Riemannian metric on Q whose sectional curvatures are also $\leq c_2 < 0$, and such that there are constants $a, b > 0$ with $a^2 \leq g'(v, v) \leq b^2$ for every $v \in TQ$ with $g(v, v) = 1$, and such that S is also a convex subset of (Q, g'). Then $\partial_\infty Q$ is the same if defined using g or g'. Moreover Lemma 2.4 and 2.5 above also holds for (Q, g') (with respect to all proper concepts defined using g' instead of g).*

Proof. It follows from item 11 and Lemma 2.5. Note that the liftings $\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}'$ of g and g', satisfy $a^2 \leq \tilde{g}'(v, v) \leq b^2$ for every $v \in T\tilde{Q}$ with $\tilde{g}(v, v) = 1$. This proves the Lemma.

Section 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let the metric g and the closed simple curve α be as in the statement of the Theorem. Write $N = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $\Sigma^M = \Lambda_g \Phi^M$, where $\Phi^M = \Phi^M(\alpha, V, r)$. The base point of the k-sphere $\mathbb{S}^k$ will always be the point $u_0 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{S}^k \to DIFF(N \times I, \partial)$, $\theta(u_0) = 1_{N \times I}$, represent an element in $\pi_k(\, DIFF(N \times I, \partial)\,)$.

We will prove that if $\pi_k(\Sigma^M)([\theta])$ is zero, then $\pi_k(\iota_N)([\theta])$ is also zero. Equivalently, if $\Sigma^M \theta$ extends to the $(k+1)$-disc $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$, then $\iota_N \theta$ also extends to $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$. So, suppose that $\Sigma^M \theta : \mathbb{S}^k \to \mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)$ extends to a map $\sigma' : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \to \mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)$. We can assume that this map is smooth.

Remark. Originally σ' may not be smooth, but it is homotopic to a smooth map. By "σ' is smooth" we mean that the map $\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times (TM \oplus TM) \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $(u, v_1, v_2) \mapsto \sigma'(u)_x(v_1, v_2)$, $v_1, v_2 \in T_x M$, is smooth. To homotope a given σ' to a smooth one σ'' we can use classical averaging techniques: just define $\sigma_x(u)''(v_1, v_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} \eta(u-w)\, \sigma'(w)_x(v_1, v_2)\, dw$, which is smooth. Here: (1) η is a smooth ϵ-bump function, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} \eta = 1$ and $\eta(w) = 0$, for $|w| \geq \epsilon$ and, (2) we are extending σ' (originally defined on $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$) to all $\mathbb{R}^n$, radially. Since σ' is continuous, the second order derivatives of $\sigma'_x(u)$ and $\sigma'_x(u')$ are close for u close to u'. Therefore the second order derivatives of $\sigma'_x(u)$ are close to the second order derivatives of $\sigma''_x(u)$. Hence, if ϵ is sufficiently small, we will also have $\sigma''(u) \in \mathcal{MET}^{sec<0}(M)$.

Also, by deforming σ', we can assume that it is radial near $\partial\,\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$. Thus $\sigma'(u),\ u\in\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$, is a negatively curved metric on M. Also, $\sigma'(u)=\Sigma^M\,\theta(u)$, for $u\in\mathbb{S}^k$, and $\sigma'(u_0)=g$. Since σ' is continuous there is a constant $c_2<0$ such that all sectional curvatures of the Riemannian manifolds $(M,\sigma'(u))$, $u\in\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$, are less or equal c_2. Write $\varphi_u=\Phi^M(\theta(u))$, $u\in\mathbb{S}^k$. Hence we have that $\sigma'(u)=(\varphi_u)_*\sigma'(u_0)=(\varphi_u)_*g$, for $u\in\mathbb{S}^k$. Note that φ_u is, by definition, the identity outside the closed normal geodesic tubular neighborhood U of width $2r$ of α. Also, φ_u is the identity on the closed normal geodesic tubular neighborhood of width r of α. Note that $\varphi_u:M\to M$ induces the identity at the π_1-level and hence φ_u is freely homotopic to 1_M.

Since σ' is continuous and $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ is compact we can find constants $a,b>0$ such that $a^2\le\sigma'(u)(v,v)\le b^2$ for every $v\in TM$ with $g(v,v)=1$, $u\in\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.

Let Q be the covering space of M with respect to the infinite cyclic subgroup of $\pi_1(M,\alpha(1))$ generated by α. Denote by $\sigma(u)$ the pullback on Q of the metric $\sigma'(u)$ on M. For the lifting of g on Q we use the same letter g. Note that α lifts to Q and we denote this lifting also by α. Let $\phi_u:Q\to Q$ be diffeomorphism which is the unique lifting of φ_u to Q with the property that $\phi_u|_\alpha$ is the identity. We have some comments.

(i.) $\sigma(u)=(\phi_u)_*\sigma(u_0)=(\phi_u)_*g$, for $u\in\mathbb{S}^k$.

(ii.) The tubular neighborhood U lifts to a countable number of components, with exactly one being diffeomorphic to U. We call this lifting also by U. All other components U_1, U_2,... are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{D}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}$. Note that ϕ_u is the identity outside the union of $\bigcup U_i$ and U and inside the closed normal geodesic tubular neighborhood of width r of α.

(iii.) Since $\varphi_u:M\to M$ induces the identity at the π_1-level, and $\mathbb{S}^k$ is compact, there is a constant C such that $d_{\sigma(u')}(\,p\,,\,\phi_u(p)\,)<C$, for any $u,u'\in\mathbb{S}^k$, where $d_{\sigma(u')}$ denotes the distance in the Riemannian manifold $(Q,\sigma(u'))$.

(iv.) $(\phi_u)|_U=\Big[\Phi^Q(\alpha,V',r)\theta(u)\Big]\,|_U$, for $u\in\mathbb{S}^k$. Here V' is the lifting of V.

(v). We have that $a^2\le\sigma(u)(v,v)\le b^2$ for every $v\in TQ$ with $g(v,v)=1$, $u\in\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$. It follows that $\frac{a^2}{b^2}\le\sigma(u)(v,v)\le\frac{b^2}{a^2}$ for every $v\in TQ$ with $\sigma(u')(v,v)=1$, $u,u'\in\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.

(vi.) All sectional curvatures of the Riemannian manifolds $(Q,\sigma(u))$, $u\in\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$, are less or equal c_2.

Since $(M,\sigma'(u))$ is a closed negatively curved manifold, it contains exactly one immersed closed geodesic freely homotopic to $\alpha\subset M$. Therefore $(Q,\sigma(u))$ contains exactly one embedded closed geodesic α_u freely homotopic to $\alpha\subset Q$. Note that α_u is unique up to affine reparametrizations. Write $\alpha_0=\alpha_{u_0}$ and note that $\alpha_u=\phi_u(\alpha_0)$, for all $u\in\mathbb{S}^k$.

Since $n \geq 5$ we can find a compactly supported smooth isotopy $s : Q \times I \to Q$, $s_0 = 1_Q$, with $s_1(\alpha_0) = \alpha$. Using s we get a homotopy $(s_t)^{-1}\phi_u s_t$ between ϕ_u and $\psi_u = (s_1)^{-1}\phi_u s_1$. Therefore we can assume that for $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$ we have $\sigma(u) = (\psi_u)_* g$. Note that (ii) above still holds with $U' = (s_1)^{-1}U$, $U'_i = (s_1)^{-1}U_i$ instead of U, U_i, respectively. Note that U'_i coincides with U_i outside a compact set. Also, since s is compactly supported (iii) holds too. For (iv) we assume that U' is the closed normal geodesic tubular neighborhood of width $2r$ of α_0 and s_1 sends geodesic of length $2r$ beginning orthogonally at α_0 isometrically to geodesic of length $2r$ beginning orthogonally at α (we may have to consider a much smaller $r > 0$ here). Note that (v) and (vi) still hold. The following version of (iv) is true

(iv'.) $(\psi_u)|_{U'} = \Big[\Phi^Q(\alpha_0, V'', r)\theta(u)\Big]\,|_{U'}$, for $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$. Here $V'' = (s_1^{-1})_* V'$.

Now, by [6, Prop. 5.5] α_u depends smoothly on $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$. Hence we have a smooth map $h : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to Q$, given by $h_u = \alpha_u$. Note that h is radial near ∂. We have the following facts:

1. We can identify $\mathbb{S}^1$ with its image α_0 and, using the exponential map orthogonal to $\mathbb{S}^1$, with respect to $g = \sigma(u_0)$ and the trivialization V'', we can identify Q to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. With this identification V'' becomes just the canonical base $E = \{e_1, ..., e_{n-1}\}$ and (iv') above has now the following form: $(\psi_u)|_{U'} = \Big[\Phi^Q(\alpha_0, E, r)\theta(u)\Big]\,|_{U'}$, for $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$.
2. Because of the argument above (using the homotopy s) we can not guarantee that all metrics $\sigma(u)$ are lifted metrics from M, but we do have that all liftings of the $\sigma(u)$ to the universal cover $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{M}$ are all quasi-isometric.

The next Claim says that we can assume all $h_u = \alpha_u : \mathbb{S}^1 \to Q$ to be equal to α_0.

Claim 1. *We can modify σ (hence also α_u and h) on int $(\mathbb{D}^{k+1})$ such that*

a. *The liftings of the metrics $\sigma(u)$ to the universal cover $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{M}$ are all quasi-isometric.*

b. *$\alpha_u = \alpha_0$, for all $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Let H be as in Lemma 1.4. Then the required new metrics are just $[(H_u)_1]^*\sigma(u)$, that is, the pull-backs of $\sigma(u)$ by the inverse of the diffeomorphism given by the isotopy H_u at time $t = 0$. Note that the metrics do not change outside a compact set of Q. Just one more detail. In order to be able to apply Lemma 1.4 for $k = 0$ we have to know that the loop $\beta : \mathbb{D}^1 \to Q$ given by $\beta(u) = h(u, 1)$ is homotopy trivial. But if this is not the case let l be such that β is homotopic (rel base point) to α_0^{-l}. Then just replace h by $h\,\vartheta$, where $\vartheta : \mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, $\vartheta(u, z) = (u, e^{\pi l(u+1)i}.\, z)$. Note that h_u and $(h\,\vartheta)_u$ represent the same geodesic, but with different basepoint. This proves Claim 1.

Hence, from now on, we assume that all α_u are equal to $\alpha_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \to Q$. Note that the new metrics $\sigma(u)$, $u \in$ *int* $(\mathbb{D}^{k+1})$, are not necessarily pull-back from metrics in M. Recall that we are identifying Q with $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and the rays $\{z\} \times \mathbb{R}^+ v$, $v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, are geodesics (with respect to $g = \sigma(u_0)$) emanating from $z \in \mathbb{S}^1 \subset Q$ and normal to $\mathbb{S}^1$. Denote by

$W_\delta = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^{n-1}(\delta)$ the closed normal tubular neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1$ in Q of width $\delta > 0$, with respect to the metric $\sigma(u_0)$. Note that $\partial W_\delta = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}(\delta)$.

For each $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ and $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$, let $T^u(z)$ be the orthogonal complement of the tangent space $T_z\mathbb{S}^1 \subset T_zQ$ with respect to the $\sigma(u)$ metric and denote by $exp^u_z : T^u(z) \to Q$ the normal exponential map, also with respect to the $\sigma(u)$ metric. Note that the map $exp^u : T^u \to Q$ is a diffeomorphism, where T^u is the bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$ whose fibers are $T^u(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. We will denote by N^u the sphere bundle of T^u. The orthogonal projection (with respect to the $\sigma(u_0)$ metric) of the tangent vectors $(z, e_1), ..., (z, e_{n-1}) \in T_zQ = \{z\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ (here $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$,...) into $T^u(z)$ gives a base of $T^u(z)$. Applying the Gram-Schimidt orthogonalization process we obtain and orthonormal base $v^1_u(z), ..., v^{n-1}_u(z)$ of $T^u(z)$. Clearly, these bases are continuous in z, hence they provide a trivialization of the normal bundle T^u. We denote by $\chi_u : T^u \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ the bundle trivializations given by $\chi_u(v^i_u(z)) = (z, e_i)$. Note that these trivializations are continuous in $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.

For every $(u, z, v) \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\})$ define $\tau_u(z, v) = (z', v')$, where $\chi_u \circ (exp^u)^{-1}(z, v) = (z', w)$ and $v' = \frac{w}{|w|}$. Then $\tau_u : \mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}) \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ is a smooth map. The restriction of τ_u to any $\partial W_\delta \subset \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ will be denoted also by τ_u. ¿From now on we assume $\delta < r$.

Claim 2. *There is $\delta > 0$ such that the map $\tau_u : \partial W_\delta \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ is a diffeomorphism.*

Proof of Claim 2. Just apply Lemma 1.6 to the map $\chi_u \circ (exp^u)^{-1}$. This proves Claim 2.

Note that τ_u depends continuously on u. Note also that Claim 2 implies that every normal geodesic (with respect to any metric $\sigma(u)$) emanating from α_0, intersects ∂W_δ transversally in a unique point. Denote by $\rho_u : \partial W_\delta \to (0, \infty)$ the smooth map given by $\tau_u(z, v) = |w|$, where we are using the notation before the statement of Claim 2.

To simplify our notation we take $\delta = 1$ and write $W = W_1$. Thus $\partial W = N = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and we write $N \times [1, \infty) = Q \backslash int\, W$. Now, for each $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ we define a self-diffeomorphism $f_u \in DIFF(N \times [1, \infty), N \times \{1\})$ by

$$f_u((z, v), t) = exp^u_{z'}(\ [\chi_u]^{-1}(\ z',\ \rho_u(z, v)\, tv'\ )\ )$$

where $\tau_u(z, v) = (z', v')$. It is not difficult to show that $f_u((z, v), 1) = ((z, v), 1)$ and that f_u is continuous in $u \in \mathbb{D}^{K+1}$.

Here is an alternative interpretation of f_u. For $(u, z, v) \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^u(z)$, denote by $c^u_{(z,v)} : [0, \infty) \to Q$ the $\sigma(u)$ geodesic ray given by $c^u_{(z,v)}(t) = exp^u_z(tv)$. Then f_u sends $c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}$ to $c^u_{(z',s)}$, where $exp^u_{z'}(s) = (z, v) \in Q$. Explicitly, we have $f_u(\, c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}(t)\,) = c^u_{(z',s)}(|s|t)$, for $t \geq 1$. Using Claim 2 it is not difficult to prove that $f_u(\, N \times [1, \infty)\,) = N \times [1, \infty)$ and that f_u is a diffeomorphism.

We denote by $\partial_\infty Q$ the space at infinity of Q with respect to the $\sigma(u_0)$ metric. Recall that the elements of $\partial_\infty Q$ are equivalence classes $[\beta]$ of $\sigma(u_0)$ quasi-geodesic rays $\beta : [a, \infty) \to Q = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ (see Section 2). Note that, since all metrics $\sigma(u)$ are quasi-isometric, a $\sigma(u)$ quasi-geodesic ray is a $\sigma(u')$ quasi-geodesic ray, for any $u, u' \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$. Hence $\partial_\infty Q$ is independent of the metric $\sigma(u)$ used (see (v) and Lemma 2.?). Still, the choice of a $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$, gives canonical elements in each equivalence class in $\partial_\infty Q$: just choose the unique unit speed $\sigma(u)$ geodesic ray that "converges" (that is,"belongs") to the class, and that emanates $\sigma(u)$-orthogonally from $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset Q$. If we choose the $\sigma(u_0)$ metric, this set of geodesic rays is in one-to-one correspondence with $N = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \subset Q$. We identify $N \times \{\infty\}$ with $\partial_\infty Q$ by: $((z, v), \infty) \mapsto [c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}]$. Hence we can write now $(Q \setminus int\, W) \cup \partial_\infty Q = (\, N \times [1, \infty)\,) \cup \partial_\infty Q = N \times [1, \infty]$ (see Lemma 2.?).

We now extend each f_u to a map $f_u : N \times [1, \infty] \to N \times [1, \infty]$ in the following way. For $((z, v), \infty) = [c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}]$ define $f_u(\,[c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}\,]\,) = [\,f_u(\,c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}\,)\,]$. Recall that, as we mentioned before, we have $f_u(\,c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}(t)\,) = c^{u}_{(z',s)}(|s|t)$, for $exp^u_{z'}(s) = (z, v) \in Q$, $t \geq 1$. That is, $f_u(\,c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}\,)$ is a $\sigma(u)$ geodesic ray, hence it is a $\sigma(u_0)$ quasi-geodesic ray. Therefore $[\,f_u(\,c^{u_0}_{(z,v)}\,)\,]$ is a well defined element in ∂_∞.

We will write $exp = exp^{u_0}$. Also, as in Section 2, we will write $exp\,(\infty v) = [c_v]$, for $v \in N$.

Claim 3. *$f_u : N \times [1, \infty] \to N \times [1, \infty]$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof of Claim 3. Note that f_u is already continuous (even differentiable) on Q. We have to prove that f_u is continuous on points in $\partial_\infty Q$. Let $q_n = exp(t_n v_n) \to [c_v]$, $v, v_n \in N$, $t_n \in [0, \infty]$. Then, by Lemma 2.5, $v_n \to v$ and $t_n \to \infty$. Let $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ and write $f = f_u$. We have to prove that $q'_n = f(q_n)$ converges to $f([c_v]) = [f(c_v)]$. Write $w_n = (exp^u)^{-1}(v_n)$. Then $w_n \to w = (exp^u)^{-1}(v) \neq 0$. Note that $f([c_v]) = [f(c_v)] = [c^u_w]$, where c^u_w is the $\sigma(u)$ geodesic ray $t \mapsto exp^u(tw)$. Note also that, by definition, $f(q_n) = exp^u(t_n w_n)$. The Claim follows now from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6.

Claim 4. *f_u is continuous in $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$.*

Proof of Claim 4. Note that we know that $u \mapsto f_u|_Q$ is continuous. Let $q_n = exp(t_n v_n) \to [c_v]$, $v, v_n \in N$, $t_n \in [0, \infty]$. Then, by Lemma 2.5, $v_n \to v$ and $t_n \to \infty$. Let also $u, u_n \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ with $u_n \to u$. To simplify our notation we assume that $u = u_0$ (the proof for a general u is obtained by properly writing the superscript u on some symbols; see also Lemma 2.6). Hence, by the previous identifications, $exp^{u_0} = exp : T = Q \to Q$ is just the identity and f_{u_0} is also the identity . Write $f_n = f_{u_n}$ and $w_n = (exp^{u_n})^{-1}(v_n)$. Then $w_n \to (exp^{u_0})^{-1}(v) = v$. We have to prove that $q'_n = f_n(q_n) = exp^{u_n}(t_n w_n) = c^{u_n}_{w_n}(t_n)$ converges to $f([c_v]) = [c_v]$. Note that $c^{u_n}_{w_n}(1) = exp^{u_n}(w_n) = v_n \to v$. To prove that $q'_n \to [c_v]$ we will work in $\tilde{Q}$ instead of Q. Therefore we "lift" everything to $\tilde{Q}$ and we express this by writing the superscript *tilde* over each symbol. Hence we have $\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}_n \in \tilde{N}$, $u, u_n \in \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$, $t_n > 0$ satisfying

1. $\tilde{w}_n \to \tilde{v}$ and $c^{u_n}_{\tilde{w}_n}(1) = exp^{u_n}(\tilde{w}_n) \to \tilde{v}$.

2. $u_n \to u_0$, hence $\tilde{\sigma}(u_n) \to \tilde{\sigma}(u_0) = \tilde{g}$.

We have then that $c_{\tilde{v}}$ is a $\tilde{g}$ geodesic ray and the $c^{u_n}_{\tilde{w}_n}$ are $\tilde{\sigma}(u)$ geodesic rays. Write $c^n = c^{u_n}_{\tilde{w}_n}$ and $\tilde{q}'_n = c^n(t_n)$. We have to prove that $\tilde{q}'_n \to [c_{\tilde{v}}]$. Since $u_n \to u_0$, the maps $exp^{u_n} \to exp = 1_{\tilde{Q}}$ (in the compact-open topology). Therefore

(*) *for any R, $\delta > 0$ there is n_0 such that $\tilde{d}(c^n(t), c_{\tilde{v}}(t)) < \delta$, for $t \leq R$, and $n \geq n_0$.*

Since $c_{\tilde{v}}$ is a unit speed geodesic (i.e. a (1,0)-quasi-geodesic ray), by (1) and (2), for large n we have that $c^n = c^{u_n}_{\tilde{w}_n}$ is a $\tilde{\sigma}(u)$ (2,0)-quasi-geodesic ray. By (v) above and Lemma 2.1 the identity $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\sigma}(u)) \to (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{g})$ is a $(\lambda, 0)$-quasi isometry, where $\lambda = max\{\frac{a^2}{b^2}, \frac{b^2}{a^2}\}$. Therefore, we have that c^n is a $\tilde{g}$ $(2\lambda, 0)$-quasi-geodesic ray. Let $K = K(2\lambda, 0, c_2)$ be as in item 6 of Section 2, and c_2 is as in (vi) above. Then there is a unit speed $\tilde{g}$ geodesic ray $\beta_n(t)$, $t \in [1, a_n]$, that is at K Hausdorff distance from c^n, $t \in [1, t_n]$, and has the same endpoints: $\beta_n(1) = c^n(1) \to \tilde{v}$ and $\beta_n(a_n) = c^n(t_n) = \tilde{q}'_n$. Note that $a_n \to \infty$ because $t_n \to \infty$. We have that (*) above (take $\delta = 1$ in (*)) imply that

(**) *given an $R > 0$ there is a n_0 such that $\tilde{d}(c_{\tilde{v}}(t), \beta_n) \leq C = K + 1$, for $t \leq R$ and $n \geq n_0$.*

Since $\tilde{Q}$ is complete and simply connected, we can extend each β_n to a geodesic ray $\beta_n : [1, \infty] \to \tilde{Q}$. Then $[\beta_n] \in \partial_\infty \tilde{Q}$. Let $\beta'_n(t)$, $t \in [1, \infty]$ be the unit speed $\tilde{g}$ geodesic ray with $\beta'_n(1) = \tilde{v}$, $\beta'_n(\infty) = \beta_n(\infty)$. Therefore $\tilde{d}(\beta_n(t), \beta'_n(t)) \leq \tilde{d}(\beta_n(1), \beta'_n(1)) = \tilde{d}(c^n(1), \tilde{v}) \to 0$. We can assume then that $\tilde{d}(\beta_n(t), \beta'_n(t)) \leq 1$, for all n and $t \geq 1$. Hence, a version of (**) holds with β'_n instead of β_n and $C + 1$ instead of C. This new version of (**) implies that $[\beta'_n] \to [c_{\tilde{v}}]$, and this together with condition (1.) imply $\beta'_n(t) \to c_{\tilde{v}}(t)$, for every $t \in [1, \infty]$. Since $[\beta'_n] \to [c_{\tilde{v}}]$ and $a_n \to \infty$, we have that $\beta'_n(a_n) \to [c_{\tilde{v}}]$. But $\tilde{d}(\tilde{q}'_n, \beta'_n(a_n)) = \tilde{d}(\beta_n(a_n), \beta'_n(a_n)) \leq 1$, therefore $\tilde{q}'_n \to [c_{\tilde{v}}]$. This proves the Claim.

Claim 5. *For all $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$ we have $f_u|_{Q \setminus W} = (\psi_u)|_{Q \setminus W}$ and $(f_u)|_{\partial_\infty} = 1_{\partial_\infty}$.*

Proof of Claim 5. Let $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$. Since $\sigma(u) = g$ on W, then $T^u = T^{u_0} = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $exp^u_z(v) = (z, v)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $|v| \leq 1$. It follows that $f_u(\, c_{u_0}(z, v)(t)\,) = c_u(z, v)(t)$, for $t \geq 1$. On the other hand, since $\sigma(u) = (\phi_u)_* \sigma(u_0)$ we have that $\psi : (Q, \sigma(u_0)) \to (Q, \sigma(u))$ is an isometry. Hence $\psi_u(c_{u_0}(z, v)(t))$, $t \geq 0$, is a $\sigma(u)$ geodesic. Since ψ_u is the identity in $W \subset U'$, we have $\psi_u(z) = z$ and $(\psi_u)_* v = v$. Therefore $\psi_u(\, c_{u_0}(z, v)(t)\,)$, $t \geq 0$ is the $\sigma(u)$ geodesic that begins at z with direction v. Thus $\psi_u(c_{u_0}(z, v)(t)) = c_u(z, v)(t)$, for $t \geq 0$. Consequently $f_u(\, c_{u_0}(z, v)(t)\,) = \psi_u(\, c_{u_0}(z, v)(t\,))$, $t \geq 1$. This proves $f_u|_{Q \setminus W} = (\psi_u)|_{Q \setminus W}$ because every point in $Q \setminus W$ belongs to some $\sigma(u_0)$ geodesic $c_{u_0}(z, v)(t)$. Now, since ψ_u is at bounded distance from the identity (recall that (iii) above holds for ψ) then $f_u(\, c_{u_0}(z, v)\,)$ is at bounded distance from $c_{u_0}(z, v)$, thus they define the same point in ∂_∞. Therefore $f_u(\,[c_{u_0}(z, v)\,]\,) = [\, c_{u_0}(z, v)\,)\,]$. Hence $(f_u)|_{\partial_\infty} = 1_{\partial_\infty}$. This proves the Claim.

By means of an orientation preserving homeomorphism $[1, \infty] \to [0, 1]$ we can identify $[1, \infty]$ with $[0, 1]$. It follows from Claim 3 that we can consider $f_u \in P(N)$. And we obtain, by Claim 4, a continuous map $f : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \to P(N)$. We choose this identification map to be linear when restricted to the interval $[r, 2r]$ with image the interval $[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$. The next Claim proves Theorem 1.

Claim 6. *$f|_{\mathbb{S}^k}$ is homotopic to $\iota_N \theta$.*

Proof of Claim 6. Let $u \in \mathbb{S}^k$. Recall that ψ_u is the identity outside the union of $\bigcup U'_i$ and U' and inside the closed normal geodesic tubular neighborhood of width r of $\alpha_0 = \mathbb{S}^1$ (see (iii) above). In particular ψ_u is the identity on W. ¿From (iv') (and (1)) above we have

$$(\psi_u)|_{U'} = \Big[\Phi^Q(\alpha_0, E, r)\theta(u)\Big]\,|_{U'}, \text{ for } u \in \mathbb{S}^k.$$

Recall also that each U'_i is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{D}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\bar{\alpha}_0$ be the (not necessarily embedded) closed g geodesic which is the image of $\alpha_0 \subset Q$ by the covering map $Q \to M$. Remark that U_i is the $2r$ normal geodesic tubular neighborhood of a lifting β_i of $\alpha \subset M$ which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. Since $\alpha \subset M$ is freely homotopic to the closed geodesic $\bar{\alpha}_0 \subset M$ we have that β_i is at finite distance from some embedded geodesic line which is a lifting of $\bar{\alpha}_0$. Therefore the closure of U_i in $Q \cup \partial_\infty$ is formed exactly by the two points at infinity determined by this geodesic line. Consequently, the closure $\bar{U}_i$ of each U_i is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{D}^n$ and intersects ∂_∞ in exactly two different points. Now, applying Alexander's trick to each $\psi|_{\bar{U}_i}$, we obtain an isotopy (rel U') that isotopes ϕ_u to a map that is the identity outside $U' \setminus int\,(W)$, and coincides with ψ_u on U', that is, coincides with $\Phi^Q(\alpha_0, E[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}], r)\theta(u)$ on U'. (Note that this isotopy can be defined because the diameters of the closed sets $\bar{U}_i$ in $(Q \setminus int\,W) \cup \partial_\infty = N \times [1, \infty]$ converge to zero as $i \to \infty$). Here we refer to any metric compatible with the topology of $N \times [1, \infty]$.) Therefore ψ_u is canonically isotopic to a map ϑ_u that is the identity outside U' and on U' coincides with $\Phi^Q(\alpha_0, E, r)\theta(u)$. In fact ϑ_u is the identity outside $N \times [r, 2r] \subset U \setminus W \subset N \times [1, \infty]$. That is, for $t \in [1, r] \cup [2r, \infty]$, $\vartheta_u((z, v), t) = ((z, v), t)$, $(z, v) \in N$.

On the other hand, we can deform θ_u to θ'_u, where θ'_u is the identity on $N \times (\,[0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]\,)$ and $\theta'_u((z, v), t) = \theta'_u((z, v), 3t - 1)$, for $t \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$. Finally using the identification mentioned before this Claim, we obtain that $\theta' = \vartheta$. This proves Claim 6 and Theorem 1.

Section 4. Proof of Theorem 2.

First we recall some definitions and introduce some notation. For a compact manifold M, the spaces of smooth and topological pseudo-isotopies of M are denoted by $P^{diff}(M)$ and $P(M)$, respectively. Both $P^{diff}(M)$ and $P(M)$ are groups with composition as the group operation. We have stabilization maps $\Sigma : P(M) \to P(M \times I)$. The direct limit of the sequence $P(M) \to P(M \times I) \to P(M \times I^2) \to \dots$ is called the space of stable topological pseudo-isotopies of M, and it is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(M)$. We define $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(M)$ in a similar way. The inclusion $P^{diff}(M) \to P(M)$ induces an inclusion $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(M) \to \mathcal{P}(M)$.

We mention two important facts:

1. $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(-)$, $\mathcal{P}(-)$ are homotopy functors.
2. The maps $\pi_k(P^{diff}(M)) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(M))$, $\pi_k(P(M)) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{P}(M))$ are isomorphisms for $max\{2k+9, 3k+7\} \leq dim\, M$, see [12].

Lemma 4.1. *For every k and every compact smooth manifold M, the kernel and the cokernel of $\pi_k(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(M)) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{P}(M)))$ are finitely generated.*

Proof. We have a long exact sequence (see [9], p.12): $... \to \pi_{k+1}(\mathcal{P}_S(M))) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(M))) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{P}(M))) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}(M)) \to ...$, where $\mathcal{P}_S(M) = lim_n \Omega^n \mathcal{P}(S^n M)$. An important fact here is that $\pi_*(\mathcal{P}_S(M))$ is a homology theory with coefficients in $\pi_{*-1}(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(*))$. Since these groups are finitely generated (see [4]) the Lemma follows.

Lemma 4.1 together with (2.) imply:

Corollary 4.2. *For every k and smooth manifold M^n the kernel and the cokernel of $\pi_k(P^{diff}(M)) \to \pi_k(P(M)))$ are finitely generated for $max\{2k+9, 3k+7\} \leq dim\, M$.*

Write $\iota' : DIFF((\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times I, \partial) \to P^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2})$. Since $\iota_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}} : DIFF((\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times I, \partial) \to P(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2})$ factors through ι', Corollary 4.2 implies that to prove Theorem 2 it is enough to prove:

Theorem 4.3. *Let p be a prime integer ($p \neq 2$) such that $6p-5 < n$. Then for $k = 2p-4$ we have that $\pi_k(DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times I, \partial))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$ and $\pi_k(\iota')$ restricted to $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$ is one-to-one. When $p = 2$, n needs to be ≥ 10. Also, if $n \geq 14$, then $\pi_1(DIFF(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times I, \partial))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ and $\pi_1(\iota')$ restricted to $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ is one-to-one.*

We will need a little more structure. There is an involution " $-$ " defined on $P^{diff}(M)$ by turning a pseuso-isotopy upside down. For M closed we can define this involution easily in the following way. Let $f \in P^{diff}(M)$. Define $\bar{f} = (\,(f_1)^{-1} \times 1_I\,) \circ \hat{f}$, where $\hat{f} = r \circ f \circ r$, $r(x,t) = (x, 1-t)$ and $(f_1(x), 1) = f(x, 1)$. This involution homotopy anti-commutes with the stabilization map Σ, hence the involution can be extended to $\mathcal{P}(M)$. This involution induces an involution $- : \pi_k(\mathcal{P}(M)) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{P}(M))$ at the k-homotopy level. We define now a map $\Xi : P^{diff}(M) \to P^{diff}(M)$ by $\Xi(f) = f \circ \bar{f}$, and extend this map to $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(M)$. We have four comments:

i. For $f \in P^{diff}(M)$, $\Xi(f)|_{M\times\{1\}} = 1_{M\times\{1\}}$. Therefore $\Xi(f) \in DIFF(M \times I, \partial)$. Hence the map $\Xi : P^{diff}(M) \to P^{diff}(M)$ factors through $DIFF(M \times I, \partial)$.

ii. Since $P^{diff}(M)$ is a topological group, for $x \in \pi_k(P(M))$ we have that $\pi_k(\Xi)(x) = x + \bar{x}$.

iii. The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} P^{diff}(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P^{diff}(M) \arrow[d] \\ \mathcal{P}^{diff}(M) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}^{diff}(M) \end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal lines are both either "$-$" or Ξ. Hence we have an analogous diagram at the homotopy group level.

iv. We mentioned in (1.) that $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(-)$ is a homotopy functor. But the conjugation "$-$" defined on $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(M)$ depends on M. In any event, we have that $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(-)$ preserves the conjugation "$-$" up to multiplication by ±1.

Note that (i.) above implies that $\pi_k(\Xi) : \pi_k(P^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2})) \to \pi_k(P^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$ factors through $\pi_k(\, DIFF((\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \times I, \partial)\,)$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 4.3 it is enough to prove:

Proposition 4.4. *For every $k = 2p - 4$, p prime integer ($p \neq 2$), $6p - 5 < n$, we have that $\pi_k(P^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$. Also $\pi_1(P^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$, provided $n \geq 14$, and $\pi_0(P^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$, provided ≥ 10. Moreover, in all cases above, $\pi_k(\Xi)$ restricted these subgroups is one-to-one.*

By (2.) and (iii.) to prove Proposition 4.4 it is enough to prove the following stabilized version:

Proposition 4.5. *For every $k = 2p - 4$, p prime integer ($p \neq 2$), $6p - 5 < n$, we have that $\pi_k(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$. Also $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$, provided $n \geq 14$, and $\pi_0(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$, provided ≥ 10. Moreover, in all cases above, $\pi_k(\Xi)$ restricted these subgroups is one-to-one.*

Since $\mathbb{S}^1$ is a retract of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, (1.) implies that $\pi_k(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1))$ is a direct summand of $\pi_k(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}))$. Therefore, by (ii.) and (iv.), to prove Proposition 4.5 it is enough to prove the following version for $\mathbb{S}^1$:

Proposition 4.6. *For every $k = 2p - 4$, p prime integer, we have that $\pi_k(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$. Also $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(\mathbb{S}^1))$ contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$. Moreover, in these cases, the two group endomorphisms $x \mapsto x + \bar{x}$ and $x \mapsto x - \bar{x}$ are both one-to-one when restricted to these subgroups.*

Proof. For a finite complex X, Waldhausen [14] proved that the kernel of the split epimorphism

$$\zeta_k : \pi_k(A(X)) \to \pi_{k-2}(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(X))$$

is finitely generated. Recall that the conjugation in $\mathcal{P}^{diff}(X)$ is defined by turning a pseudo-isotopy upside down. It is also possible to define a conjugation "$-$" on $A(X)$ such that ζ_k preserves conjugation up to multiplication by ± 1 (see [13]). The induced map at the k-homotopy level will also be denoted by "$-$".

We recall a result proved in [10]. For a space X we have that $\pi_k(A(X \times \mathbb{S}^1))$ naturally decomposes as a sum of four terms:

$$\pi_k(A(X \times \mathbb{S}^1)) = \pi_k(A(X)) \oplus \pi_{k-1}(A(X)) \oplus \pi_k(N_- A(X)) \oplus \pi_k(N_+ A(X))$$

and the conjugation leaves invariant the first two terms and interchanges the last two.

The following result is crucial to our argument:

Theorem (p-torsion of $\pi_{2p-2}A(\mathbb{S}^1)$). *For every prime p the subgroup of $\pi_{2p-2}(A(\mathbb{S}^1))$ consisting of all elements of order p is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$.*

(We are grateful to Tom Goodwillie for communicating this result to us.) Also Igusa ([11], Part D, Th 2.1) building on work of Waldhausen [14] proved the following:

Addendum. $\pi_3 A(\mathbb{S}^1)$ *contains* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$.

Remark. The special case of the p-torsion Theorem above, when $p = 2$, is also due to Igusa (see [11], Th. 8.a.2).

Now, take $X = *$ in the decomposition formula above. Recall that Dwyer showed that $\pi_k(A(*))$ is finitely generated for all k. Therefore the Theorem above implies that at least one of the summands $\pi_k(N_- A(*))$, $\pi_k(N_+ A(*))$ in the above formula contains $(Z_p)^\infty$, for $k = 2p-2$ and contains $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ when $k = 3$ by the Addendum. Hence $y \mapsto y + \bar{y}$ and $y \mapsto y - \bar{y}$, $y \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$, are both one-to-one. Since $\zeta_k : \pi_k(A(X)) \to \pi_{k-2}(\mathcal{P}^{diff}(X))$ has finitely generated kernel we can assume (by passing to a subgroup of finite index) that $y \mapsto \zeta_k(y + \bar{y})$ and $y \mapsto \zeta_k(y - \bar{y})$, $y \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty$, are also one-to-one. It follows that $x \mapsto x + \bar{x}$ and $x \mapsto x - \bar{x}$, $x \in \zeta_k((\mathbb{Z}_p)^\infty)$, are one-to-one. Finally, the same argument shows that $x \mapsto x + \bar{x}$ and $x \mapsto x - \bar{x}$, $x \in \zeta_3((\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty)$, are one-to-one.

References

[1] M. Bridson and A. Haeflinger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag (1999).

[2] K. Burns and A. Katok, *Manifolds with non-positive curvature*, Ergodic Theory & Dynam. Sys. **5** (1985), 307-317.

[3] R.K. Dennis and K. Igusa, *Hochschild homology and the second obstruction for pseudo-isotopies*, LNM **966**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, pp. 7-58.

[4] W. Dwyer, *Twisted homology stability for general linear groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980) 239-251.

[5] C. J. Earle and J. Eells, *Deformations of Riemannian surfaces*, LNM **102**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1969) 122-149.

[6] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, *Deformations of metrics and associated harmonic maps*, Patodi Memorial Volume, Geometry and Analysis, Tata Institute, Bombay, 1981, 33-45.

[7] T.G. Goodwillie, *The differential calculus of homotopy functors*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Kyoto, 1990), pp. 621-630. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.

[8] R. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Contemporary Mathematics **71** (1988) 237-261.

[9] A.E. Hatcher, *Concordance spaces, higher simple homotopy theory, and applications*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **32** (1978) 3-21.

[10] T. Hutterman, J.R. Klein, W. Vogell, F. Waldhausen and B. Williams, *The "fundamental theorem" for the algebraic K-theory of spaces II- the canonical involution*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **167** (2002) 53-82.

[11] K. Igusa, *What happens to Hatcher and Wagoner's formula for $\pi_0(\mathcal{C}(M))$ when to first Postnikov invariant of M is non-trivial?*, LNM **vo. 1046**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 104-177.

[12] K. Igusa, *Stability Theorems for pseudo-isotopies*, K-theory **2** (1988) 1-355.

[13] W. Vogell, *The involution in algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, LNM. **vol. 1126**, Springer, Berlin, 1985, 277-317.

[14] F. Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-Theory of topological spaces I*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **32** (1978) 35-60.

F.T. Farrell
SUNY, Binghamton, N.Y., 13902, U.S.A.

P. Ontaneda
SUNY, Binghamton, N.Y., 13902, U.S.A.